



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,706	11/21/2003	H. Lee Browne	02473.0018-02	8866

22852 7590 05/24/2006

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER  
LLP  
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW  
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413

EXAMINER
----------

FERNSTROM, KURT

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3711

DATE MAILED: 05/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/717,706

Applicant(s)

BROWNE ET AL.

Examiner

Kurt Fernstrom

Art Unit

3711

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 January 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 37-45 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 37-45 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 37-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Leary in view of Piater. O'Leary discloses in column 4, lines 1-67 a method and system for providing video instruction to a user comprising the steps of capturing a real-time signal of a user engaged in a physical activity via video cameras 14A and 14B, generating information related to the physical activity (outline images of a master performing a correct golf swing, described in lines 57-63), and combining the real-time signal and the generated information to display to the user in real time. O'Leary fails to disclose that the instructional signal is presented in a manner that allows a user to view the signal while performing the activity. Piater discloses in Figures 1 and 2 and in pages 8-10 of the translated specification a method of providing an instructional signal comprising a head mounted display 1 which projects a real-time signal from a video camera 4 directly onto the eyes of the user, such that the user may view the instructional signal while performing a physical activity. Piater further discloses that an instructional signal in the form of a correct golf swing may be presented simultaneously with the signal of the user (see the top of page 8 and claim 7, page 12 of the translated

Art Unit: 3711

specification). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the relevant art to modify the device and method disclosed by O'Leary by providing a head mounted display for the purpose of projecting an image directly onto the eyes of the user, thus allowing the user to more easily view the signal while performing a physical activity.

With respect to claim 38, O'Leary and Piater both disclose the use of a computer to generate the information. With respect to claims 41 and 44, the signal and information of O'Leary and Piater are viewable at the same time by the user. With respect to claim 42, the VOG as described in O'Leary acts as a mixer.

Claim 45 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Leary in view of Piater, and further in view of Security CCTV: "Point-of-Sale Monitoring Downsizes for Small Venues" ("Security"). O'Leary as viewed in combination with Piater discloses all of the limitations of the claim with the exception of the step of obtaining real-time information, which is then combined with the real-time signal. Security discloses a method comprising the steps of receiving a real-time signal associated with a user performing a physical activity (in this case, operating a cash register), obtaining real time information from a processor (register data) and combining the two into a signal which is sent to a display in real time. Security further discloses at the bottom of column 2 that the signal may be used for instructional purposes, including "training or constructive criticism." It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the relevant art to modify the device and method disclosed by O'Leary as viewed in combination with Piater by obtaining and presenting real-time information with the signal for the purpose of providing additional information with the instructional signal.

***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 37-45 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant is advised that the Piater reference was previously cited in the Office Action of January 26, 2005, and that a full English translation is provided herewith.

***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kurt Fernstrom whose telephone number is (571) 272-4422. The examiner can normally be reached on M, T, Th 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Kim can be reached on 571 272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KF  
May 22, 2006



**KURT FERNSTROM  
PRIMARY EXAMINER**